

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,386	07/23/2003	Sundeep Chauhan	STL10986	2363
75	7590 06/19/2006		EXAMINER	
David K. Lucente		NGUYEN, HAI L		
Seagate Techno				
Intellectual Pro	perty - COL2LGL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
389 Disc Drive			2816	
Longmont, CO 80503			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	$\mathbf{A}$
II	η
V	U

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/625,386	CHAUHAN, SUNDEEP		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hai L. Nguyen	2816		

3	LAGIIIIII	AILOIIIL					
	Hai L. Nguyen	2816					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CEP 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the appropria	ta automolon foa				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	, ,					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		p.i.c.i.c.i.a.ii.c.ii.c.ii.c	(				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	□ will not be entered, or b) □ will will will will will will will wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered a necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.  ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	condition for allowa	nce because:				
See Continuation Sheet.							
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(P10/SB/08 of P10-1449) Paper N	10(s).	1				
	$ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{A}} $	ON JUI					
	/ <b>)/</b> π	MOTHY P. CALLAHAN					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments with respect the prior art rejections in the Final Rejection Office Action mailed on 2/28/2006 are not persuasive. The rejections of record are still believed to be proper and are therefore maintained.